

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

5

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/665,142	09/22/2003	Isao Kakuhari	2003_1330A	5803	
513 WENDEROTH	7590 01/23/200 I, LIND & PONACK, I	EXAMINER			
2033 K STREET N. W.			LAO, LUN S		
SUITE 800 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20006-1021	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		2615		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/23/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/665,142	KAKUHARI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Lun-See Lao	2615		

		Luii-See Lao	20	13	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with	h the corre	spondence add	ress
THE R	EPLY FILED <u>26 December 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDIT	ION FOR	ALLOWANCE:	
tl p	he reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on his application, applicant must timely file one of the follow laces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant me periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendm otice of Appeal (with appeal f	ent, affidav ee) in com	it, or other eviden pliance with 37 Cl	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
	The period for reply expires <u>1</u> months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.			
ь) [	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	Advisory Action, or (2) the date s ater than SIX MONTHS from the	e mailing dat	e of the final rejection	on.
	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).			
ave be inder 3 et fortl nay red	ons of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date en filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 7 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later (uce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) E OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding a shortened statutory period for re r than three months after the ma	amount of the ply originally	e fee. The appropri set in the final Office	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. 🔲 1 fi a	he Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extended Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed DMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37	7(e)), to avo	oid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing	a brief, will	not be entered be	ecause
•	a) They raise new issues that would require further co		ee NOTE b	elow);	
	<ul> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> <li>They are not deemed to place the application in be</li> </ul>		rially reduci	ng or simplifying	the issues for
(	appeal; and/or d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ally rejecte	d claims.	
<b>.</b> 🗀	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		Non-Compl	ant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			;	
S. 🔲	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a on-allowable claim(s).		parate, time	ely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. 🔲   F T	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ow the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		□ will be	entered and an e	explanation of
	claim(s) allowed: claim(s) objected to:				
	claim(s) rejected to:				
(	claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
t	he affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but ecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and ras not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the	affidavit or	other evidence is	s necessary and
s	he affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ntered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o howing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections unde y and was not earlier presen	er appeal ar nted. See 3	nd/or appellant fai 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ils to provide a 1).
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation EST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims	after entry	is below or attach	ned.
l1. 🛚	The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the applic	cation in co	ndition for allowar	nce because:
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	<del></del>	$\mathcal{M}$	<b>?</b> _ `
13. 🗍	Other:			1//	2
			6	NASAN CI	The state of the s
	•		3	FERMIOSEY PASS	MEANNER
				1/22/08	
				1120108	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Regarding applicant's argument of the 112 second paragraph rejection (remarks, page 2, 2nd- 3rd paragraphs), a careful review of applicant's specification, paragraph [0077] does not provide support for the inclusion of noise detector 10. Paragraph [0077] in its entirety states: "[0077] FIG. 37 is an illustration showing a distribution of a noise reduction characteristic over the effect verification system in a case where the film 36 is not formed". Therefore, the 112 rejection is maintained.

Regarding applicant argument that Sheplak does not teach the structure for generating enclosed spaces; control sound sources for radiating sound into the enclosed spaces; sound detectors to be placed within the enclosed spaces, respectively, and a control arrangement (Remarks pages 3-4), Sheplak teaches the structure, to be attached to a surface of the wall so as to face the external noise source and thereby block a noise propagation path, for generating enclosed spaces for noise reduction between said structure and the wall (see fig.17); control sound sources(1718 in fig. 17) for radiating sound into the enclosed spaces; sound detectors (1762) to be placed within the enclosed spaces(1710 in fig. 17 (the whole embodiment)), respectively, for detecting sound propagated from the external noise source through said control sound sources; and a control arrangement (1760) for causing said control sound sources to radiate sound into the enclosed spaces so as to minimize sound to be detected by said sound detectors, based on results corresponding to the sound as detected by said sound detectors (see fig. 17, col. 13 line 55-col. 14 line 53, and col. 14 line 59-col. 15 line 32). In other words, Sheplak meets the limitations as recited. Further, the enclosed chambers 1708 and 1748 in Sheplak are enclosed/fenced by their surroundings. Therefore, applicant's arguments filed on 12/26/2007 are not persuasive.